

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise, Tourism and
the Environment

to

**Traffic and Parking Working Party and
Cabinet Committee**

on

9th June 2011

Agenda
Item No.

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry
Team Leader Traffic Management and Road Safety

Alternate Month Parking Restrictions Objections to Proposed Amendments

Executive Councillor: Councillor Tony Cox
A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee to consider objections to recently advertised proposals and make an appropriate decision.

2. Recommendation

2.1 That the Traffic and Parking Working Party consider the objections to the Formal Consultation and

- 2.1.1 Implement the proposals without amendments, or
- 2.1.2 Implement the proposals with amendments, or
- 2.1.3 Take no further action

2.2 That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic and Parking Working Party in respect of the objections and

- 2.2.1 Implement the scheme without amendments, or
- 2.2.2 Implement the scheme with amendments, or
- 2.2.3 Take no further action

In the event of either 2.2.1 or 2.2.2 being agreed to authorise the implementation of the scheme as advertised, or amend to reduce the impact, as appropriate.

3. Background

3.1 Some roads within the borough are subject to a parking restriction which alternates between the sides of the road each month. These type of restrictions can create confusion and some residents have petitioned through the local press for changes.

- 3.2 While advertising these particular roads it was felt appropriate to also consult residents in all affected streets to assess the popularity of this style of restriction and suggest alternatives.
- 3.3 The statutory advertisement process commenced in March 2011 and the comments received in the appendices to this report resulted.

4. Other Options

- 4.1 All options are detailed above.

5. Reasons for Recommendations

To amend restrictions to suit the majority of residents.

6. Corporate Implications

- 6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities.

Ensuring parking is managed while maintaining adequate carriageway for emergency vehicles and general traffic flow.

- 6.2 Financial Implications

Amended signs and lines would be funded through existing budgets.

- 6.3 Legal Implications

The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

- 6.4 People Implications

Work required implement any works will be met by existing staff resources.

- 6.5 Property Implications

None

- 6.6 Consultation

Statutory consultation process completed.

7. Background Papers

None

8. Appendices

Appendix 1, 2 & 3 – details of objections received

APPENDIX 1

Central Area

proposal	from	detail
Albion Road	ward councillors	better to deal with parking as cpz
Albion Road	resident	access easier alternate months
Albion Road	not stated	supports but would like mon-fri only
Albion Road	resident	see no issue with proposal
Albion Road	resident	suggests north side unrestricted
Albion Road	resident	proposals don't go far enough
Earls Hall Avenue	resident	vehicles opp drive will impede access
Earls Hall Avenue	resident	current restrictions fine
Earls Hall Avenue	resident	current restrictions fine
Earls Hall Avenue	resident	existing arrangements should continue
Edith Road	resident	current restrictions fine
Edith Road	not stated	not stated
Edith Road	residents	current restrictions fine
Gayton Road	resident	current restrictions fine
Gayton Road	petition 12 signatories	prefer existing restrictions
Gayton Road	ward councillors	existing arrangements should continue
Glenhurst Road	petition 47 signatories	current restrictions fine
Glenhurst Road	ward councillors	existing arrangements should continue
Hill Road	resident	current restrictions fine
Hill Road	resident	prefers existing restrictions
Hill Road	resident	current restrictions fine
Hill Road	resident	current restrictions fine
Oakhurst Road	ward councillors	existing arrangements should continue
Osborne Road	resident	wants both sides parking
Osborne Road	ward councillors	better to deal with parking as cpz
Osborne Road	resident	residents parking better
Osborne Road	resident	prefers two side parking
Osborne Road	resident	wants parking both sides
Osborne Road	resident	prefers permits
Osborne Road	resident	opposed to changes
Windsor Road	ward councillors	better to deal with parking as cpz
Windsor Road	resident	no advantage
Windsor Road	resident	existing arrangements should continue

Officer Comment

No clear support to amend existing restrictions

APPENDIX 2**West Area**

Proposal	from	detail
Clova Road	resident	existing arrangements should continue
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	current restrictions fine
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	unsure proposal is right for road
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	current restrictions fine
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	current restrictions fine
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	concerned of impact
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	supports proposal but should be 24 hours
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	objects not stated why
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	not stated
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	supports proposal
Darlinghurst Grove	resident	existing arrangements should continue
Glen Road	resident	residents parking would be better
Glen Road	resident	current restrictions fine
Glen Road	resident	current restrictions fine
Glen Road	resident	change makes no sense
Glendale Gardens	leigh council	
Glendale Gardens	resident	current restrictions fine
Glendale Gardens	resident	current restrictions fine
Glendale Gardens	resident	current restrictions fine
Glendale Gardens	resident	current restrictions fine
Glendale Gardens	resident	against proposal
Second Avenue	havens	misunderstood proposals believed restricting all parking
Second Avenue	resident	existing arrangements should continue
Second Avenue	resident	existing arrangements should continue
Shakespeare Drive	resident fairfax drive	parking should move to west side only

Officer Comment

No clear support to amend existing restrictions. However these are historic arrangements and have resulted in past request for change.

APPENDIX 3

East Area

proposal	from	detail
all proposals in Thorpe Ward	ward councillors	various
plas newydd	resident	residents support existing restrictions
plas newydd	resident	not stated
plas newydd	resident	current restrictions fine
plas newydd	resident	misunderstood proposal as charging
plas newydd	resident	misunderstood proposal as charging
plas newydd	resident	existing arrangements should continue
portland avenue	not stated	reduces parking
portland avenue	not given	change will increase problems
portland avenue	resident	bays should be individually marked
quebec avenue	resident	wants residents parking
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	alternate month works
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	driveway access
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	residents support existing restrictions
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	driveway access
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	all restrictions should be removed
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	current restrictions fine
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	not stated
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	not stated
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	remove all restrictions and allow parking both sides
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	parking should be both sides
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	current restrictions fine
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	current restrictions fine
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	access easier alternate months
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	supports proposal
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	supports proposal
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	supports proposal
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	supports proposal
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	supports proposal
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	wants parking both sides
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	inconvenient
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	would prefer no restrictions
Shaftesbury Avenue	resident	supports proposal -opposed to unrestricted parking
Shaftesbury Avenue & Plas Newydd	42 signatories	residents support existing restrictions
Shaftesbury Avenue & Plas Newydd	resident	residents support existing restrictions

Officer Comment

No clear support to amend existing restrictions